

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
WAVAY HENTO DOV	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,023	06/01/2005	Francisco Speich	UP-337	1152
7590 08/15/2006			EXAM	INER
George Pappas Suite 300 919 S. Harrison Street Fort Wayne, IN 46802			DURHAM, NATHAN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
		DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/537,023	SPEICH, FRANCISCO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nathan E. Durham	3765			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	p ars on the cover shet with the	correspond nce address			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 J	lune 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,7,9-12 and 14</u> is/are rejected	•				
	Claim(s) 2.5,8 and 13 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2005</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	Ne)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/2005. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/537,023 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

5

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities: The applicant stated in lines 5-6 of claim 1 that a latter transmission unit is connected to a central computer by means of a data line. Claim 2 is dependent on claim 1 and shows that a second transmission unit is connected to the central computer through the means of a wireless signal. In the situation that the latter transmission unit is the second transmission unit, there will be two connection means to the central computer.

10 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7, 11 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the second transmission unit" in line two of claim 7.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 7 is

dependent on claim 1 where it states, "at least a first transmission unit" and makes no
reference to a second transmission unit.

Art Unit: 3765

5

10

15

20

25

Regarding claims 7 and 14, the phrase "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 11, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MALLARD (U.S. Patent 4,835,699) in view of MESCHIA (European Patent 1,128,244 A2).

MALLARD teaches a system for monitoring, analyzing, and controlling a number of weaving machines (Col. 1, Lines 6-18). MALLARD teaches a control computer (72a - 72n) for each weaving machine (70a – 70n) (Col. 7, Lines 47-55) and a central computer (52). However, MALLARD does not disclose the control computers being networked through wireless signal transmission means to a transmission unit, which is connected to the central computer through a data line. The control computers of

Art Unit: 3765

5

10

15

20

MALLARD are connected via a data line (66) to the central computer (Fig. 2(B). The system of MALLARD was developed in 1987 when the technology was not as advanced as it was when the applicant's invention was created.

Page 4

In regards to claim 1, MESCHIA teaches a system for networking and controlling a number of machine tools (Col. 1, Lines 3-5) (Col 2, Lines 42-52). The system of MESCHIA contains a control computer for each machine (11) (Col. 5, Lines 22-27) and a central computer (4) (Col. 6, Line 9). MESCHIA teaches the control computers being connected via wireless signal transmission means to a transmission unit (20) (Col. 5, Lines 36-49), which is connected to the central computer by means of a data line (5)(Fig. 3) to allow for communication in both directions.

In regards to claim 3, MESCHIA discloses the wireless signal transmission means form a radio network for signal transmission (Col. 5, Lines 25-27 and Lines 36-40). The WLAN standard (as mentioned in line 3 of claim 3) is not one particular standard, therefore the signal transmission could be any standard. MESCHIA discloses using any standard or more specifically IEEE 802.11 (Wi-Fi) (Col. 3, Lines 26-38).

In regards to claim 4, MESCHIA discloses the wireless transmission means having a send and receive unit being integrated into the respective terminal device (Col. 5, Lines 40-44).

In regards to claim 7 and 14, MESCHIA shows a first and second transmission unit (20) connected via a data line (5) (Fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the system of MALLARD with wireless

Art Unit: 3765

5

10

15

20

networking capabilities, including one or more transmission units, in light of the teachings of MESCHIA, in order to create a modern control system for weaving machines that encompassed all of the technology available.

In regards to claim 6, MALLARD and MESCHIA teach a system as discussed above. However, MESCHIA fails to discuss the data line connecting the central computer to a transmission unit as being a telephone line. MESCHIA discloses a cable line for the particular connection. Applicant has not disclosed that having a telephone line further solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose besides personal preference. Moreover, it appears that the system would perform equally well with the configuration as set forth by MESCHIA to allow for the transfer of data to and from the central computer and transmission unit. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the system of MESCHIA with the telephone line as set forth by the applicant's claim 6 because it appears to be an arbitrary design configuration which fails to patentably distinguish over MESCHIS.

In regards to claim 9, MALLARD discloses a printer (55) being assigned to the central computer (Fig. 2(B)).

MALLARD discloses a system as discussed above. However, MALLARD fails to disclose a scanner being assigned to the central computer.

In regards to claim 10, MESCHIA teaches a scanner (17) being assigned to a central computer (Col. 6, Lines 37-39) in order to digitize an image into pattern data and be stored in the central computer and also to share this image over the network.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,023

Art Unit: 3765

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the system of MALLARD with a scanner being connected to the central computer, in light of the teachings of MESCHIA, in order to provide a way to create and store pattern data and share this data over the network.

Page 6

In regards to claim 12, MALLARD discloses the control computer being capable of converting digitized data into machine data processable by the corresponding machines. If the controllers were unable to convert digitized data into machine data, there would be no purpose to the entire setup because the machines would be unable to be controlled through one centralized computer.

10

5

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 5, 8 and 13 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

15

20

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, cited on attached form, PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan E. Durham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,023

Art Unit: 3765

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for
published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a
USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information
system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

15 **NED**

GARY L. WELCH PRIMARY EXAMINER